## **CHECKLIST** for Revising and Editing Analytical Reports

Use this checklist to refine the content, arrangement, and style of your report.

## Content

- □ Does the report grow from a clear statement of purpose?
- □ Is the report's length adequate and appropriate for the subject?
- □ Are all limitations of the analysis clearly acknowledged?
- □ Are visuals used whenever possible to aid communication?
- $\Box$  Are all data accurate?
- $\Box$  Are all data unbiased?
- $\Box$  Are all data complete?
- □ Are all data fully interpreted?
- □ Is the documentation adequate, correct, and consistent?
- □ Are the conclusions logically derived from accurate interpretation?
- □ Do the recommendations constitute an appropriate response to the question or problem?

## Arrangement

- □ Is there a distinct introduction, body, and conclusion?
- □ Are headings appropriate and adequate?
- $\Box$  Are there enough transitions between related ideas?
- $\Box$  Is the report accompanied by all needed front matter?
- $\Box$  Is the report accompanied by all needed end matter?

## **Style and Page Design**

- □ Is the level of technicality appropriate for the stated audience?
- $\Box$  Is the writing style throughout clear, concise, and fluent?
- $\Box$  Is the language convincing and precise?
- $\Box$  Is the writing in the report grammatical?
- $\Box$  Is the page design inviting and accessible?

**NOTE:** the following page reproduces *Technical Communication*'s general checklist for revising and editing all sorts of documents.

## **CHECKLIST** for Revising and Editing Documents

#### Is the Content Worthwhile?

- $\Box$  A brief but explicit title
- □ Subject and purpose clearly stated
- □ Enough information for readers to understand the meaning
- □ Material (or insight) new and significant to the audience
- □ All material technically accurate
- □ Technical details appropriate for the audience
- □ All needed warnings and cautions
- □ All data examined fully and interpreted impartially
- $\Box$  Both sides of the issue presented
- Opinions and assertions supported by evidence
- □ Conclusions and recommendations supported by the facts presented
- □ No recommendations where none were requested
- □ No gaps, foggy areas, or needless details
- □ All anticipated reader questions answered
- □ All definitions double-checked
- □ All information sources documented
- □ All material honest and fair to everyone involved

## Is the Organization Sensible?

- □ Structure for the document visible at a glance
- $\Box$  An evident line of reasoning
- □ A distinct introduction, body, and conclusion
- □ A given section's length is equal to its importance
- □ Enough transitions and connectors to signal relationships
- □ Material arranged for the reader's understanding
- □ A topic (orienting) sentence to begin each supporting paragraph
- One main point developed in each supporting paragraph, with unity, coherence, and reasonable length

#### Is the Style Readable?

- □ Each sentence understandable on *first* reading
- □ The most information expressed in the fewest words
- □ Related ideas combined for fluency
- □ Sentences varied in construction and length
- □ Each word chosen for exactness, not for camouflage
- □ Concrete and specific language
- □ No triteness, overstatements, euphemisms, or jargon
- $\hfill\square$  Tone unbiased
- □ Level of formality appropriate to the situation

# Are Design, Visuals, and Mechanics Appropriate?

- □ An inviting and accessible format: white space, fonts, and so on
- □ A design that accommodates audience needs and expectations
- □ Adequate, clear, and informative headings
- □ Adequate visuals, to clarify, emphasize, or organize
- □ Appropriate displays for specific visual purposes
- □ All visuals fully incorporated with the text
- □ All visuals free of distortion
- □ All pages numbered and in order
- □ Supplements that accommodate diverse audience needs
- □ Correct spelling, punctuation, and grammar